The Differential Virial Theorem with Gradient Formulas for the Operators

James P. Finley*

Department of Physical Sciences, Eastern New Mexico University, Station #33, Portales, NM 88130

(Dated: August 30, 2016)

arXiv:1608.07601v1 [physics.chem-ph] 26 Aug 2016

Abstract

A gradient dependent formula is derived for the spinless one-particle density-matrix operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ from the differential virial theorem. A gradient dependent formula is also derived for a spinless one-particle density-matrix operator that can replace the two operators of the differential virial theorem that arise from the kinetic energy operator. Other operators are also derived that can replace the operators mentioned above in the differential virial theorem; these operators depend on the real part of spinless one-particle density-matrix.

*Electronic address: james.finley@enmu.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Hohenberg and Kohn [1] proved that the external potential of an electronic system is determined by the electron density of the ground state. Unfortunately, an explicit formula, sequence, or series for this abstract function with external-potential values has not been discovered. However, an explicit formula for an operator with external-potential values, but depending on reduced density matrices of the ground- or excited-states, has been discovered by Holas and March [2]. In their formalism, they derived an equation, called the differential virial theorem, that gives the external potential from a path integral. The differential virial theorem has been exploited in many theoretical developments [3–9]. The formalism has also been extended to systems that have a non-integer number of electrons [9] and to ones that are time-dependent [10].

For electronic systems, the differential virial theorem is [2]

$$\rho(\mathbf{r})\nabla v(\mathbf{r}) + 2\int \rho_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'}\,d\mathbf{r}' = \frac{1}{4}\nabla\nabla^2\rho(\mathbf{r}) - [\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r}), \tag{1}$$

where pair function ρ_2, spinless one-particle density matrix ρ_1, and electron density ρ are defined elsewhere [11, 12] and below, and v is a fixed external potential; the value $\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1$ of the linear operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is a vector field with Cartesian components $\hat{z}_x\rho_1$, $\hat{z}_y\rho_1$, and $\hat{z}_z\rho_1$, where, for example, $\hat{z}_x\rho_1$ is defined by

$$[\hat{z}_x\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p\in\{x,y,z\}} \partial_p \left[\left(\partial_x\partial_{p'} + \partial_p\partial_{x'}\right)\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \right], \tag{2}$$

and ∂_p denotes the partial derivative with respect to the Cartesian component p of $\mathbf{r}$.

The $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ term from (1) differs from the others, since the other terms define a linear operator with a formulas that depend explicitly on the gradient operator ∇, e.g., let $\hat{L}$ be defined by

$$\hat{L}\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4}\nabla\nabla^2\rho(\mathbf{r}). \tag{3}$$

In this paper, we obtain formulas for the operators $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ that depend explicitly on the gradient operator ∇ only. We also obtain other results as explained within the next two paragraph.

Sec. (II) derives the differential virial theorem. Sec. (III) obtains equalities that are used in later sections. Sec. (IV A) derives two linear operators with formulas that depend explicitly on ∇ only, such that the value $\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1$ of $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ at ρ_1 is equal the value of the derived operators at $\mathrm{Re}\rho_1$, where $\mathrm{Re}\rho_1$ is the real part of ρ_1; so, the derived operators can replace $\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1$

in (1), if ρ_1 is replaced by $\mathrm{Re}\rho_1$. Sec. (V) obtains two formulas for $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ that depend explicitly on ∇ only.

Similar results in Secs. (IV B) and (V) are obtained by treating the operator sum (or difference) defined by right-hand side of (1). Denoting one of the two derived operators in Sec. (IV B) by $\hat{\kappa}$, we obtain $\hat{L}\rho - \hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1 = \hat{\kappa}\mathrm{Re}\rho_1$, where $\hat{L}$ is defined by (3), and $\hat{\kappa}$ has a very simple formula. An operator, say $\hat{\kappa}_s$, is obtained in Sec. (V), such that $\hat{L}\rho - \hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1 = \hat{\kappa}_s\rho_1$. The formulas for the operators $\hat{\kappa}$ and $\hat{\kappa}_s$ also depend explicitly on ∇ only.

II. THE DIFFERENTIAL VIRIAL THEOREM

Let Ψ be a complex valued, N-electron eigenfunction of the time-independent, electronic Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi \tag{4}$$

where the Hamiltonian operator,

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\nabla_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(\mathbf{r}_i) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}^{N} r_{ij}^{-1}, \quad r_{ij}^{-1} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{5}$$

is determined by the external potential v and the positive integer N. After substituting $\Psi = \chi + i\lambda$ into (4), where χ and λ are the real and imaginary parts, respectively, the resulting equation can be separated into two equations

$$\hat{H}\chi = E\chi, \qquad \hat{H}\lambda = E\lambda \tag{6}$$

and these are satisfied, since (4) is required to be satisfied by Ψ. Using (5) the equation for the real part is

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} v(\mathbf{r}_i) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}^{N} r_{ij}^{-1}\right)\chi = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\nabla_i^2\chi + E\chi. \tag{7}$$

Let Ψ have partial derivatives up to the third order that are continuous for all $\mathbf{r}$ such that $v(\mathbf{r})$ is finite. Applying the operator $\chi^2\partial_{x_1}\chi^{-1}$ to the above equation yields

$$\chi^2\partial_{x_1}v(\mathbf{r}_1) + \chi^2\sum_{j\neq 1}^{N}\partial_{x_1}r_{1j}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\chi^2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\partial_{x_1}\left(\chi^{-1}\nabla_i^2\chi\right). \tag{8}$$

Applying ∂_{x_1}, and then expressing ∇_i^2 in Cartesian coordinates, the right hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\chi^2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\partial_x\left(\chi^{-1}\nabla_i^2\chi\right) =& \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\chi\partial_{x_1}\nabla_i^2\chi-(\partial_{x_1}\chi)\nabla_i^2\chi\right]\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{p_i\in\{x_i,y_i,z_i\}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\chi\partial_{p_i}^2\partial_{x_1}\chi-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{p_i}^2\chi)\partial_{x_1}\chi\right).\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\chi\partial_{p_i}^2\partial_{x_1}\chi-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{p_i}^2\chi)\partial_{x_1}\chi=\frac{1}{4}\partial_{p_i}^2\partial_{x_1}\chi^2-(\partial_{p_i}^2\chi)\partial_{x_1}\chi-(\partial_{p_i}\chi)\partial_{p_i}\partial_{x_1}\chi \tag{10}$$

is easily proved by, in part, expanding out $\partial_{p_i}^2\partial_{x_1}\chi^2$. Using this identity, after substituting (9) into (8), we find that

$$\chi^2\partial_{x_1}v(\mathbf{r}_1)+\chi^2\sum_{j\neq1}^{N}\partial_{x_1}r_{1j}^{-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{p_i}\left(\frac{1}{4}\partial_{p_i}^2\partial_{x_1}\chi^2-\partial_{p_i}\left[(\partial_{p_i}\chi)\partial_{x_1}\chi\right]\right). \tag{11}$$

Eqs. (6) indicates that (11) with χ replaced by λ is also a true statement. Adding (11) to the corresponding one for λ, and using ($|\Psi|^2=\chi^2+\lambda^2$), we have

$$|\Psi|^2\partial_{x_1}v(\mathbf{r}_1)+|\Psi|^2\sum_{j\neq1}^{N}\partial_{x_1}r_{1j}^{-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{p_i}\left(\frac{1}{4}\partial_{p_i}^2\partial_{x_1}|\Psi|^2-\partial_{p_i}\left[(\partial_{p_i}\chi)\partial_{x_1}\chi+(\partial_{p_i}\lambda)\partial_{x_1}\lambda\right]\right). \tag{12}$$

The identity

$$(\partial_{x_1}\chi)\partial_{p_i}\chi+(\partial_{x_1}\lambda)\partial_{p_i}\lambda=\frac{1}{2}\left[(\partial_{x_1}\Psi)\partial_{p_i}\Psi^*+(\partial_{p_i}\Psi)\partial_{x_1}\Psi^*\right] \tag{13}$$

is easily proved by substituting $\Psi=\chi+i\lambda$. Hence, (12) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}&|\Psi|^2\partial_{x_1}v(\mathbf{r}_1)+|\Psi|^2\sum_{j\neq1}^{N}\partial_{x_1}r_{1j}^{-1}\\ &\quad=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{p_i\in\{x_i,y_i,z_i\}}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\partial_{p_i}^2\partial_{x_1}|\Psi|^2-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{p_i}\left[(\partial_{x_1}\Psi)\partial_{p_i}\Psi^*+(\partial_{p_i}\Psi)\partial_{x_1}\Psi^*\right]\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) is integrated over $\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3,\cdots\mathbf{r}_N$ below. Consider now the integration of the first term on the right-hand side of (14) over $\mathbf{r}_i$ with the case where $p_i=x_i$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int d\mathbf{r}_i\,\partial_{x_i}^2\partial_{x_1}(\Psi\Psi^*)&=\int\int\int\partial_{x_i}[(\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_1}\Psi)\Psi^*+2(\partial_{x_i}\Psi)\partial_{x_1}\Psi^*+\Psi\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_1}\Psi^*]\,dx_idy_idz_i\\ &=\int\int[(\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_1}\Psi)\Psi^*+2(\partial_{x_i}\Psi)\partial_{x_1}\Psi^*+\Psi\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_1}\Psi^*]\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dy_idz_i=0\end{aligned}$$

and this follows since a wave function and its derivative must vanish at infinities. Obviously, the cases with $p_i = y_i$ and $p_i = z_i$ also vanish. These results combined with a similar analysis for last terms of (14) gives

$$\sum_{i=2}^{N} \sum_{p_i} \int d\mathbf{r}_2, d\mathbf{r}_3, \cdots d\mathbf{r}_N \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \partial_{p_i}^2 \partial_{x_1} |\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{p_i} \left[(\partial_{x_1} \Psi) \partial_{p_i} \Psi^* + (\partial_{p_i} \Psi) \partial_{x_1} \Psi^* \right] \right) \right\} = 0.$$

Note also that

$$(\partial_{x_1} \Psi) \partial_{p_1} \Psi^* + (\partial_{p_1} \Psi) \partial_{x_1} \Psi^* = (\partial_{x_1} \partial_{p_1'} + \partial_{p_1} \partial_{x_1'}) \Psi(\mathbf{r_1}, \omega_1, \mathbf{x_2}, \cdots \mathbf{x_N}) \Psi^*(\mathbf{r_1'}, \omega_1, \mathbf{x_2}, \cdots \mathbf{x_N}) \big|_{\mathbf{r}_1' = \mathbf{r}_1}$$

where $\mathbf{x_i} = \mathbf{r_i}, \omega_i$ and ω_i is the spin coordinate of the ith electron, and the p_1 and p_1' components have an obvious correspondence, e.g., if $p_1 = y_1$ then $p_1' = y_1'$. Also, the notation $\mathbf{r}_1' = \mathbf{r}_1$ means that $\mathbf{r}_1'$ is set equal to $\mathbf{r}_1$ after all operators have been applied.

Multiplying (14) by N, integrating and summing the resulting equation over $\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \cdots \mathbf{x}_N$ and ω_1, and using the above two identities, we obtain

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}_1) \partial_{x_1} v(\mathbf{r}_1) + 2 \int (\partial_{x_1} r_{12}^{-1}) \rho_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \, d\mathbf{r}_2 = \frac{1}{4} \nabla_1^2 \partial_{x_1} \rho(\mathbf{r}_1) - [\hat{z}_x \rho_1](\mathbf{r}_1) \tag{15}$$

where the value $\hat{z}_x \rho_1$ of the linear operator $\hat{z}_x$ is defined by (2), and it is understood that the summation over p is actually a sum over the set of ordered pairs $\{(x, x'), (y, y'), (z, z')\}$; the spinless one-particle density matrix ρ_1, pair function ρ_2, and density ρ are defined by

$$\rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_1') = N \sum_{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_N} \int \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \omega_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \cdots \mathbf{x}_N) \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}_1', \omega_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \cdots \mathbf{x}_N) \, d\mathbf{r}_2 d\mathbf{r}_3 \cdots d\mathbf{r}_N,$$

$$\rho_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \sum_{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_N} \int |\Psi|^2 \, d\mathbf{r}_3 d\mathbf{r}_4 \cdots d\mathbf{r}_N, \qquad \rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}).$$

Obviously, analogous equations to (2) and (15) are satisfied by the other two components of $\mathbf{r}_1$, e.g.,, one equation is obtained by replacing x and x_1 by y and y_1. All three equations combined, after a change in notation, is the differential virial theorem (1).

III. EQUALITIES INVOLVING SYMMETRIC OPERATORS AND FUNCTIONS

Let $\hat{O}$ be an operator (not necessarily linear) from a subspace $\mathbb{D}$ of complex valued functions with domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\tilde{\phi}$ denote the real part of a function $\phi \in \mathbb{D}$, and let $(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}), (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \in D$.

If $\hat{O}$ is linear and symmetric, i.e., $\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}$, (e.g., $\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}$), and if $\phi^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \phi(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$, then

$$\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\phi^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \tag{16}$$

where, strictly speaking, $\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ is a short-hand notation for $\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') + i0)$, and similar notations are used below. The first equality follows from

$$\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}\phi(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}},$$

$\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}$, and $\phi(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}) = \phi^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$. The second one follows from the first one, $\tilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi+\phi^*)$, and the requirement that $\hat{O}$ is linear. (Note that the composite operator defined by the left-hand side of (16)—including the $|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}$ part—is linear, since $\hat{O}$ is linear.)

If ϕ is symmetric, i.e., $\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \phi(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$, then

$$\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2}\,(\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} + \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}})\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}. \tag{17}$$

This follows by using $\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \phi(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$ within

$$\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}}\phi(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\phi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}},$$

and inspection.

Note that (17) is not an identity if the domain $\mathbb{D}$ of $\hat{O}$ includes functions that are not symmetrical. Similarly, equalities in (16) are not identities if there exist a $\phi \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\phi^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \neq \phi(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$, even if $\tilde{\phi}$ is replaced by $\mathrm{Re}\phi$, where Re is an operator such that $\mathrm{Re}\phi = \tilde{\phi}$.

IV. GRADIENT ∇ FORMULAS FOR OPERATORS

A. Gradient ∇ formulas for operators that can replace $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ in Eq. (1)

In this section we derive an operator that can replace $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ in the differential viral theorem (1). This operator depends explicitly on $\mathrm{Re}\rho_1$ only, and it has a formulas that depend explicitly on the gradient operator ∇ only. Another ∇ dependent formula for this operator is obtained by factoring.

Let $C^3(\Omega)$ be the set of all complex valued functions that are three times continuously differentiable with domain $\Omega = \{(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 : v(\mathbf{r}), v(\mathbf{r}') \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let $\rho_1 \in C^3(\Omega)$ satisfy $\rho_1^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$ for all $\mathbf{r}'$ and $\mathbf{r}$, such that $v(\mathbf{r}), v(\mathbf{r}') \in \mathbb{R}$. The set $C^3(\Omega)$ is a subspace of the $\mathbf{L}^2$ Hilbert space with the same domain Ω.

Since the operator defined by $\partial_x\partial_{p'} + \partial_p\partial_{x'}$ is symmetric and $\rho_1^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$, the equalities from (16) are applicable, in particular

$$(\partial_x\partial_{p'} + \partial_p\partial_{x'})\,\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = (\partial_x\partial_{p'} + \partial_p\partial_{x'})\,\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,, \tag{18}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_1$ is the real part of ρ_1. Since $\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$, $\tilde{\rho}_1$ is symmetrical, so we can apply (17) to the right-hand side of (18), after it is multiplied by $1/2$, giving

$$\frac{1}{2}\,(\partial_x\partial_{p'} + \partial_p\partial_{x'})\,\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \partial_x\partial_{p'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \tag{19}$$

Operating with ∂_p, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\partial_p\left[(\partial_x\partial_{p'} + \partial_p\partial_{x'})\,\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\right] &= \partial_p\left[\partial_x\partial_{p'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\right] = (\partial_p + \partial_{p'})\partial_x\partial_{p'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \\ &= (\partial_p\partial_x\partial_{p'} + \partial_{p'}\partial_x\partial_{p'})\,\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \partial_x\,(\partial_p\partial_{p'} + \partial_{p'}\partial_{p'})\,\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Summing over p and comparing with (2), we find that

$$[\hat{z}_x\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \partial_x\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}^2\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,, \tag{21}$$

and by considering all components, we obtain our main objective:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}^2\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,, \quad \rho_1 \in C^3(\Omega), \quad \rho_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}) = \rho_1^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'), \tag{22}$$

and note that the gradient $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}$ on the far left is applied before $\mathbf{r}_1'$ is set equal to $\mathbf{r}_1$.

Let the operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}'$ be defined by the right-hand side of the above equation for *all* $\tilde{\rho}_1 \in C^3(\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_1$ is real valued. The operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}'$ can replace $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ in (1), since all one-particle density matrices satisfy $\rho_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}) = \rho_1^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$.

(Let the operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}''$ be defined by the right-hand side of the above equation with $\tilde{\rho}_1$ replaced by $\mathrm{Re}\rho_1$, where Re is considered an operator that is part of the formula for $\hat{\mathbf{z}}''$, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{z}}''$ is a composition of operators that include Re. Note that $\hat{\mathbf{z}}'' \neq \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ on $C^3(\Omega)$. However, (22) becomes an identity if the two operators are restricted to the subspace of functions ρ_1 defined by the requirements to the right of the same equation.)

Another very similar formula to (22) is obtained by substituting the result from

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}^2\right) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right), \tag{23}$$

into (22), giving

$$[\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \tag{24}$$

For later use we substitute this result into (1) and then expand out the last term:

$$\rho(\mathbf{r})\nabla v(\mathbf{r}) + 2\int \rho_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'}\,d\mathbf{r}' = \frac{1}{4}\nabla\nabla^2\rho(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}+\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,.$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\nabla\nabla^2\rho(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \tag{25}$$

Note that (18) and (2)—along with the equations for $\hat{z}_y\rho_1$ and $\hat{z}_z\rho_1$—indicate that

$$\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1 = \hat{\mathbf{z}}\tilde{\rho}_1. \tag{26}$$

Note also that if we operate on (19) with $\sum_p \partial_p$, and then compare with (2), we obtain

$$[\hat{z}_x\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{p\in\{x,y,z\}} \partial_p\left[\partial_x\partial_{p'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\right]. \tag{27}$$

B. Gradient ∇ formulas for operators the can replace the kinetic energy part in Eq. (1)

Next we show that the top right-hand-side of (25)—the kinetic-energy corresponding part—satisfies

$$\frac{1}{4}\nabla\nabla^2\rho(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}+\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}$$
$$= \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}-\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \tag{28}$$

First we derive an expression for the ρ dependent term in (28). Using a set of natural orbitals [11, 13] $\{\chi_i\}$, the electron density can be written $\rho = n_i\chi_i\chi_i^*$, where, to reduce clutter, triple indices are summed over the positive integers. Using the natural orbital expansion, we have

$$\nabla^2\rho = n_i\nabla\cdot[\chi_i^*\nabla\chi_i] + n_i\nabla\cdot[\chi_i\nabla\chi_i^*] \tag{29}$$

Using the identity [14]

$$\nabla\cdot(f\mathbf{V}) = (\nabla f)\cdot\mathbf{V} + f\nabla\cdot\mathbf{V}$$

where f and $\mathbf{V}$ are scalar and vector functions, respectively, we obtain

$$\nabla^2\rho = n_i\nabla\chi_i^*\cdot\nabla\chi_i + n_i\chi_i^*\nabla^2\chi_i + \text{cc}$$

where cc means the complex conjugate of the terms to the left. Operating with ∇ gives

$$\nabla\nabla^2\rho = n_i\nabla[\nabla\chi_i^*\cdot\nabla\chi_i] + n_i\nabla[\chi_i^*\nabla^2\chi_i] + \text{cc}$$
$$= n_i\nabla[\nabla\chi_i^*\cdot\nabla\chi_i] + n_i(\nabla\chi_i^*)\nabla^2\chi_i + n_i\chi_i^*\nabla\nabla^2\chi_i + \text{cc}.$$

The value of $\nabla\nabla^2\rho$ at $\mathbf{r}$ can be expressed by

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\rho(\mathbf{r}) = n_i\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})] + n_i\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\chi_i(\mathbf{r}')\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + n_i\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + \text{cc.}$$

Since the first term and the right-hand side is equal to its complex conjugate,

$$n_i\chi_i(\mathbf{r}')\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) + \text{c}c = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}) + \text{c}c = 2\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}),$$

and $\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$, we can write

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\rho(\mathbf{r}) = 2n_i\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})] + 2\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + 2\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \quad (30)$$

Next we work on first term on the right-hand side of the above equation, starting with

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,.$$

Operating with $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}$ gives

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})] = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \quad (31)$$

For the first term on the right-hand side, $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ can be interchanged in $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}$ without changing the value; for the second term, each $\mathbf{r}$ can be changed to $\mathbf{r}'$ to the left $|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}$, and, simultaneously, each $\mathbf{r}'$ is changed to $\mathbf{r}$:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,,$$
$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r}')\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,.$$

Using these identities after multiplying (31) by n_i, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_i\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_i(\mathbf{r})] &= n_i\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\,[\chi_i(\mathbf{r})\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}') + \chi_i(\mathbf{r}')\chi_i^*(\mathbf{r})]|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \\ &= 2\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this result into (30), we find, after multiplying by $\frac{1}{4}$, that

$$\frac{1}{4}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \quad (32)$$

Next, the second line of (25) is copied followed by substituting the above equality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4}\nabla\nabla^2\rho(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \\ &\qquad = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \\ &\qquad\quad - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and the first identity from (28) follows by inspection. Hence, (25) can be written

$$\rho(\mathbf{r})\nabla v(\mathbf{r}) + 2\int \rho_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'}\,d\mathbf{r}' = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \tag{34}$$

For the second identity from (28), consider the result from (33). Interchanging $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ and then using $\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$, we obtain for the last term

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \tag{35}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,.$$

Comparing this identity with (33) gives the second identity from (28), and (25) can be written

$$\rho(\mathbf{r})\nabla v(\mathbf{r}) + 2\int \rho_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'}\,d\mathbf{r}' = (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'})\,\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,. \tag{36}$$

V. GRADIENT ∇ FORMULAS FOR $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ AND THE KINETIC ENERGY PART

In this section we symmetrize operators derived in the previous two sections to obtain gradient-dependent formulas for the operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and a spinless one-particle density-matrix operator that can replace the right-hand side of (1).

Consider (24), and let

$$\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\cdot(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}) \tag{37}$$

Since $\tilde{\rho}_1$ is symmetric, we can use equality (17) in (24):

$$[\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2}\,(\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} + \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}})\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} + \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}})$ is linear and symmetric, and $\rho_1^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})$, we can use equalities from (16), in particular

$$\frac{1}{2}(\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} + \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}})\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} + \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}})\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}\,.$$

Substituting this result into the one above it, we have

$$[\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} + \hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}})\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \tag{38}$$

and, explicitly, we obtain one of our objectives from (37):

$$[\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\right)\cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}. \tag{39}$$

Consider (22). Using the same procedure as above with $\hat{O}_{\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} + \nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)$, we also find that

$$[\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\right]\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \tag{40}$$

and the two formulas above, differ only by factorization.

Next consider (28), and let the operator $\hat{\kappa}$ and $\hat{\kappa}'$ have values that are defined by

$$[\hat{\kappa}\tilde{\rho}_1](\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}, \tag{41}$$

$$[\hat{\kappa}'\tilde{\rho}_1](\mathbf{r}) = \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}} \tag{42}$$

and either one of these can replace the right-hand side of the differential virial theorem (1). Applying the symmetrization procedure above to $\hat{\kappa}$, we find that

$$[\hat{\kappa}\tilde{\rho}_1](\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\left(\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}. \tag{43}$$

Consider the following identities with parts from within (41) and (42):

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}(\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'},$$
$$\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

By comparing these two it is obvious that the symmetrization procedure involving $\hat{\kappa}'$ gives the same result, i.e., $\hat{\kappa}'\tilde{\rho}_1(\mathbf{r})$ is also equal to the right-hand side of (43). Substituting (41) into (34) and using (43), we find that

$$\rho(\mathbf{r})\nabla v(\mathbf{r}) + 2\int \rho_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'}\,d\mathbf{r}' = \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\left(\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\rho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\Big|_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}. \tag{44}$$

VI. CONCLUSION

Identity (39) (or (40)) provides a new formula for the operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ from the differential virial theorem (1), previously defined, in part, by (2). The right-hand side of (24) (or (22)) can also replace $[\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rho_1](\mathbf{r})$ in (1), where $\tilde{\rho}_1$ is the real part of the spin-less one particle density matrix ρ_1. The two terms on the right-hand side of (1)—corresponding to the kinetic

energy—can be replaced by the right-hand side of (43); these two terms can also be replaced by $[\hat{\kappa}\tilde{\rho}_1](\mathbf{r})$, defined by (41) (or $[\hat{\kappa}'\tilde{\rho}_1](\mathbf{r})$ defined by (42)). The new formula for the operator $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, the ρ_1-dependent operator for the kinetic energy part, and the operators that depend on $\tilde{\rho}_1$, depend on the gradient operator ∇ only.

---

[1] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev **136**, B864 (1964).

[2] A. Holas and N. H. March, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 2040 (1995).

[3] Z. Qian and V. Sahni, Phys. Lett. A **247**, 303 (1998).

[4] R. S. V. Sahni, L. Massa and M. Slamet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 113002 (2001).

[5] V. Sahni and X.-Y. Pan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 123001 (2003).

[6] I. G. Ryabinkin and V. N. Staroverov, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 164113 (2012).

[7] A. Nagy, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **110**, 2117 (2010).

[8] A. Nagy, Mol. Phys. **113**, 1839 (2015).

[9] M. K. Harbola, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 4253 (1998).

[10] Z. Qian and V. Sahni, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **78**, 341 (2000).

[11] P.-O. Löwdin, Phys. Rev. **97**, 1474 (1955).

[12] R. McWeeny, Rev. Mod. Phys. **32**, 335 (1960).

[13] W. Y. R. G. Parr, *Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989).

[14] G. Arfken, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1985), 3rd ed.